

Table 2

Coefficients results of the multiple linear regression models.

model	predictors	B	Beta	t	p
1	(constant)	6.008		9.526	<0.001
	WOMAC Stiffness	-0.012	-0.377	-2.842	0.007
	Knee extensor strength	-0.014	-0.229	-1.727	0.090
2	(constant)	1.100		-0.650	0.519
	WOMAC Stiffness	-0.001	-0.263	-2.176	0.034
	Age	-0.088	-0.444	3.678	0.001

308**DIFFERENCES IN MULTI-JOINT SYMPTOMATIC OSTEOARTHRITIS PHENOTYPES BY RACE AND GENDER: THE JOHNSTON COUNTY OSTEOARTHRITIS PROJECT**

A.E. Nelson¹, J.B. Renner^{2,1}, Y.M. Golightly¹, T.A. Schwartz³, V.B. Kraus⁴, C.G. Helmick⁵, J.M. Jordan¹. ¹Thurston Arthritis Res. Ctr., Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; ²Univ. of North Carolina, Dept. of Radiology, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; ³Univ. of North Carolina, Gillings Sch. of Global Publ. Hlth., Dept. of Biostatistics, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; ⁴Duke Univ. Med. Ctr., Durham, NC; ⁵CDC, Atlanta, GA, USA

Purpose: We have identified differences in multi-joint patterns of radiographic osteoarthritis (rOA) by race and gender, and sought to determine whether similar differences were present for symptomatic osteoarthritis (sOA).

Methods: We used a subset of the Johnston County Osteoarthritis Project (data collected 2003–10) with complete symptom and radiographic data at multiple joint sites (n=1650, 36% men, 32% African American, mean age 66 ± 10 years, mean body mass index [BMI] 31 ± 6 kg/m²). Definitions of sOA were based on findings of both rOA (as defined in Table 1) and symptoms in the same joint site. Sixteen mutually exclusive phenotypes including all possible combinations of the 4 sOA variables were constructed, and Fisher exact tests with Hochberg correction for multiple comparisons were used to compare their frequencies by race and gender. Logistic regression with adjustment for race/gender, age, and BMI was performed for those phenotypes affecting at least 40 persons. Interactions between race and gender were considered noteworthy at p<0.2 and stratified analyses were performed as indicated.

Table 1. Definitions of Symptomatic OA*

Joint Site	Symptoms†	Radiographic OA criteria
Hand	hand	+ KL ≥2 in at least 1 DIP and at least 3 total hand joints
Knee	knee	+ Tibiofemoral KL ≥2 or patellofemoral osteophyte ≥2 [‡] or joint replacement [§]
Hip	hip	+ Hip joint KL ≥2 or joint replacement [§]
Lumbosacral Spine	back	+ Disc narrowing and an osteophyte ≥1 at the same level (L1/2 to L5/S1)‡

*Symptomatic OA requires both symptoms and radiographic criteria in the same joint site, on the same side

†Answered yes to: "on MOST days do you have pain, aching, or stiffness of your ____?"

‡Osteophyte and disc narrowing graded 0–3 according to the Burnett atlas

§Joint replacement done for OA per participant

KL=Kellgren Lawrence grade, DIP=Distal Interphalangeal joint

Results: Overall, the frequency of any sOA was as follows: hand 13%, hip 11%, knee 25%, and Lumbar Spine (LS) 28%. Compared to Caucasians, African Americans had less frequent Hand sOA, but more frequent Knee sOA (Table 2, left). After adjustment, African Americans had 70–80% lower odds of Hand sOA alone (aOR 0.19, 95% CI: 0.08–0.49) and the combination of Hand and Knee sOA (aOR 0.31, 95% CI: 0.13–0.76), but 80% higher odds of Knee sOA alone (aOR 1.78, 95% CI: 1.27–2.50).

Men were more likely to have no sOA in any site, and were less likely than women to have the combinations of Hand/Knee sOA or Hand/Knee/LS sOA (Table 2, right). After adjustment, men had 50–70% lower odds of Hand sOA alone or of Hand/Knee sOA in combination (Hand aOR 0.50, 95% CI: 0.27–0.91; Hand/Knee aOR 0.31, 95% CI: 0.13–0.75), but 50% higher odds of LS Only sOA (aOR 1.48, 95% CI: 1.09–2.01).

TABLE 2. Differences in multiple joint symptomatic osteoarthritis phenotypes by race and gender

Symptomatic OA Phenotype	Comparisons by Race			Comparisons by Gender		
	Caucasian n(%)	African American n(%)	Fisher exact p value†	Women n(%)	Men n(%)	Fisher exact p value†
No OA	544 (48.6)	292 (55.1)	0.015	488 (46.4)	348 (58.1)	<0.001†
Hand Only	59 (5.3)	5 (0.9)	<0.001†	50 (4.8)	14 (2.3)	0.016
Hip Only	33 (3.0)	12 (2.3)	0.518	25 (2.4)	20 (3.3)	0.272
Knee Only	87 (7.8)	75 (14.2)	<0.001†	115 (10.9)	47 (7.9)	0.048
LS Only	136 (12.1)	59 (11.1)	0.569	108 (10.3)	87 (14.5)	0.011
Hand/Hip	12 (1.1)	1 (0.2)	0.073	11 (1.1)	2 (0.3)	0.151
Hand/Knee	36 (3.2)	6 (1.1)	0.011	36 (3.4)	6 (1.0)	0.002†
Hand/LS	38 (3.4)	4 (0.8)	0.001†	34 (3.2)	8 (1.3)	0.022
Hip/Knee	20 (1.8)	6 (1.1)	0.400	12 (1.1)	14 (2.3)	0.067
Hip/LS	20 (1.8)	8 (1.5)	0.839	21 (2.0)	7 (1.2)	0.239
Knee/LS	50 (4.5)	38 (7.2)	0.026	60 (5.7)	28 (4.7)	0.425
Hand/Hip/Knee	6 (0.5)	0 (0)	0.186	5 (0.5)	1 (0.2)	0.426
Hand/Hip/LS	15 (1.3)	2 (0.4)	0.113	16 (1.5)	1 (0.2)	0.009
Hand/Knee/LS	28 (2.5)	4 (0.8)	0.020	30 (2.9)	2 (0.3)	<0.001†
Hip/Knee/LS	25 (2.2)	12 (2.3)	1.000	24 (2.3)	13 (2.2)	1.000
All sites	11 (1.0)	6 (1.0)	0.797	16 (1.5)	1 (0.2)	0.009
Total	1120 (100)	530 (100)	--	1051 (100)	599 (100)	--

†Mutually exclusive, referent is all other phenotypes

‡Significant after Hochberg adjustment for multiple comparisons

LS=lumbosacral spine

Stratified analyses were performed for No sOA, Hand/LS sOA, and Knee/LS sOA due to race by gender interactions. Compared to Caucasian women, Caucasian men and African American men and women had 50–65% increased odds (aOR 1.48 to 1.65) of having No sOA in any joint site. Again compared to Caucasian women, African American women had 93% lower odds (aOR 0.07, 95% CI: 0.01–0.51), and Caucasian men had 73% lower odds (aOR 0.27, 95% CI: 0.10–0.70) of having a combination of Hand and LS sOA. For the combination of Knee and LS sOA, African American women had nearly twice the odds compared to Caucasian women (aOR 1.92, 95% CI: 1.12–3.29), with no significant differences for the men.

Conclusions: Consistent with our results looking at rOA phenotypes by race, African American individuals have lower frequencies of sOA of the hands, alone and in combination, but higher frequencies of knee sOA, compared to Caucasians. Compared to women, men are less likely to have sOA of the hands alone or in combination, but more likely to have isolated LS involvement.

309**THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN HAMSTRING STRENGTH AND HAMSTRING-QUADRICEPS STRENGTH RATIO WITH PATELLOFEMORAL JOINT CARTILAGE DAMAGE: THE MOST STUDY**

L. Stefanik¹, J. Niu¹, N. Segal², C. Lewis³, M. Nevitt⁴, F. Roemer⁵, A. Guermazi¹, D. Felson¹. ¹Boston Univ. Sch. of Med., Boston, MA, USA; ²Univ. of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA; ³Univ. of Alabama, Birmingham, AL, USA; ⁴Univ. of California, San Francisco, CA, USA; ⁵Klinikum Augsburg, Augsburg, Germany

Purpose: It is known that quadriceps weakness is associated with patellofemoral joint (PFJ) structural damage. Recent in vitro biomechanical data suggests that hamstring loading increases joint stress on lateral PFJ cartilage, but this has not been linked to structural damage in vivo. The purpose of this study was to determine the association between hamstring strength and hamstring-quadriceps strength ratio (HQR), independent of quadriceps strength, with structural features of PFJ osteoarthritis (OA) on MRI.

Methods: The Multicenter Osteoarthritis (MOST) study is a prospective cohort study of individuals 60–79 years with or at risk for knee OA. Hamstrings and quadriceps strength were assessed using an isokinetic dynamometer and normalized per kilogram of body weight (Nm/kg). Cartilage damage on MRI was assessed by two musculoskeletal radiologists using the Whole Organ Magnetic Resonance Imaging Score (WORMS) scale on the lateral patella and trochlea (distal anterior femur). Analyses were performed in two groups of knees; those without whole knee radiographic knee OA (ROA) at baseline (n=391) and those with whole knee ROA at baseline (n=520). In the no OA group we examined worsening of cartilage damage (any increase in WORMS score) over 30 months as a function of muscle strength at baseline and in the ROA group, we examined prevalent full-thickness cartilage damage and concurrent muscle strength. We began by examining the relationship between quadriceps strength, hamstring